UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,976	08/18/2003	Brian E. Dalton	4389	3409
CAROTHERS	7590 09/07/2007 AND CAROTHERS	EXAMINER		
Suite 500			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
445 Fort Pitt Blvd. Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 /			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		BRIAN DALTON				
Office Action Summary	10/642,976 Examiner	Art Unit				
•						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	James L. Swiger lears on the cover sheet wi	3733				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n alastian rasuiranant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

M

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10-11; 14-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beer et al. (US Patent 5,458,642). Beer et al. disclose a spinal assembly having at plates (11a and 11b) that have screw-receiving elements (the bores at the ends having screws (15a and 15b) receiving screws (Note: these are also considered screw-locking mechanisms) from respective vertebral elements, a means for permitting the distance between the elements at the ends to be shortened (springs 13c - 13g) wherein the entire device is considered as a whole to define an end and wherein

Art Unit: 3733

the springs are capable of providing a continuous compressions as they are attached to the plates (Col. 4, lines 31-35). The device is capable of providing loading on graft material (12) disposed between the vertebral elements. The bores also function as screw head seats (see again 15a and 15b). The spring may also be considered a wire under tension, thus a compression spring.

In addition, the core/border (14) is capable of preventing the distance of the assembly from increasing. Its durable material helps the device to maintain specific shape.

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10-11, 14-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sevrain (US Patent 7,008,427). Sevrain discloses a plate assembly having at least two plates (Fig. 2A), with screw receiving elements (apertures for the screws (see fig. 2A) that may also be considered a screw-locking mechanism or seats, a tension spring (39) that is capable of holding the plates in a compressed position (see also Col. 5, lines 60-65). This spring is also the means by which the distance between the two portions may be shortened. The spring may also be a wire.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,976

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 3-4 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Sevrain '427 in view of Richelsoph et al. (US Patent 6,695,846). Sevrain disclose

the claimed invention except for screw head seats that allow the screw to move a

various 'attitudes,' considered as various orientations and a removable spacer. .

Richelsoph et al. disclose screw head seats (the recessed portion in the plates that

allow movement of the screws, see Fig. 19), and a portion that may be considered a

removable spacer (16) preventing the screw-receiving elements from being shortened

at opposite ends. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sevrain having at least

screw head seats and a removable spacer in view of Richelsoph et al. to use the plate

to compress the vertebrae.

Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sevrain '427 in view of Serbousek et al. (US patent 6,066,142). Sevrain discloses the claimed invention except for a torque driving device to apply tension to the wire spring. Serbousek et al. disclose a torque device (134/128) that causes torque to the spring (85, and see Fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sevrain having at least a torque device in view of Serbousek et al. to better necessary torque to the assembly in use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Beer et al. reference, it is noted that the claim requires

Art Unit: 3733

screw receiving elements at opposite ends (the location in the plates in the assembly) and a means for permitting this distance to be shortened. As mentioned, the improvement is a spring in the assembly. It is held that the spring is capable of both permitting and limiting features regarding the spacing of the plate assembly. As functionally recited, the spring is capable of continuously urging the screw-receiving elements and causing compression to the material between the plates. With regards to the Sevrain reference, it is further noted that the required structure of having a "spring means" is met and wherein a spring is functionally capable of a continuous compressive loading--relative to the locations of the plates.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,976

Art Unit: 3733

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLS